

**Deana Lemke**

**From:** roger rondeau [rrondeau@northwestel.net]  
**Sent:** October 3, 2007 9:55 AM  
**To:** Deana Lemke  
**Subject:** Fw: YUB Board Orders

Deana:

Does the Board plan on responding to these clarification requests? It is important to us as this may save much time and expense to file R&V of which we are considering. Also i do not see a statute of limitations in filing R&V in the Act. We need sufficient time to present a reasonable case, but we need clarification from the Board on issues below before forging ahead. Thanks!

r

----- Original Message -----

**From:** roger rondeau  
**To:** Deana Lemke  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 27, 2007 11:38 AM  
**Subject:** Fw: YUB Board Orders

Deana:

Please respond if you received this email. Thanks

----- Original Message -----

**From:** roger rondeau  
**To:** Deana Lemke  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 27, 2007 11:35 AM  
**Subject:** Re: YUB Board Orders

Deana:

UCG requests clarification of the Board Orders as follows:

1. Please explain the Board determination of Yukon Energy costs in hours worked for professional fees and disbursements which do not coincide with the YEC 20 yr. Resource Plan application of May 31, 2007?
2. Please explain the Board determination of Yukon Energy costs in hours worked for professional fees and disbursements which do not coincide with the YEC application for the PPA of May 31, 2007?
3. Why was the YEC allowed to increase their costs for these portions of the process when no other party was?
4. How did the Board determine no intervener time allowed for the pre-hearing and hearing process? This is a very serious precedent and one that is inconsisntent with every other order for costs. Will not this result in no one at the hearings in the future??? If this is to be Board policy, then should it not be for all parties, including the applicant?

5. Why did the Board not ask for further clarification from UCG on time spent and subject areas billed, if they perceived there was duplication or non-substantiated billing?

It would appear as if this Board does not appreciate interventions nor do they understand how interventions work. Mr. McMahon and Mr. Rondeau did the vast majority of the work for this process with the legal simply tying it together...not to take away from Michael. As an intervenor one has to commit to the process, which in this case was over a year...and then be minimumly compensated...double docked...both fee amounts and time. The two UCG consultants were at every stage of this capital hearing process, well before legal was brought in. To commit to following through a procedure for over a year and having to spend time every day keeping in touch with the development should be respected and appreciated by the Board..these two cost orders show contempt for the UCG, yet again. Setting intervenor consultant fees at the minimal wage will not encourage interventions in the future as it has become abundantly clear that one will not be adequately compensated...and as the Board very well knows without the UCG representation at these capital hearings there would not have been any ratepayer group represented... and the Part 3 hearing would have collapsed as we were the only ones to cross-examine?????

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Deana Lemke

**To:** Craig Steinbach ; 'Gary McRobb' ; 'Hector Campbell' ; 'James Grattan' ; Jerome Babyn ; 'John Landry' ; 'John Maissan' ; 'Josee Bonhomme' ; 'Lawrie Crawford' ; 'Lewis Rifkind' ; 'Loyola Keough' ; 'Malchow, Cynthia' ; 'Michael Buonaguro' ; 'Mona Pollitt-Smith' ; 'Paul Kishchuk' ; 'Peter Percival' ; Roger Rondeau ; Samson Hartland ; 'Sharon Schmitz' ; 'Shelley Dixon' ; 'Val Mather'

**Sent:** Tuesday, September 25, 2007 12:55 PM

**Subject:** YUB Board Orders

---

Attached, please find Board Orders 2007-07 and 2007-08 regarding cost awards.

Deana Lemke  
Executive Secretary, Yukon Utilities Board